

## Promoting the Production and Use of Sustainable Palm Oil

Dr. Ir. Arina Schrier, WI For the PLWG, RSPO



RSPO Certified Transforming the market. Together.

## Promoting the Production and Use of Sustainable Palm Oil

## Impacts of oil palm production

## on peat

Dr. Ir. Arina Schrier, WI For the PLWG, RSPO

## Objectives Peatland Working Group

- Objective RSPO: promote sustainable oil palm products
- The Peat Land Working Group (PLWG) is part of the RSPO and functions as a work stream of its 2<sup>nd</sup> Working Group on Green House Gases.
  - Objective 1 PLWG: Scientific review on the influence of oil palm plantation development on peat and recommendations for reducing greenhouse gas emissions
  - Objective 3 PLWG: Study possibilities of verifying carbon pools and greenhouse gas emissions in peatlands

## Outline

- History and land use change
- Impacts of drainage and deforestation (carbon losses, greenhouse gas emissions, fires, soil subsidence)
- Monitoring
- Recommendations on sustainable production
  Conlusions

#### Tropical peat swamp forest



Ecosystem with a high biodiversity

Important carbon store

### Transect peat bog



A peat bog is rain water fed



### **Deforestation: facts**

Area	Period	Reference	Peat Swamp conversion to other LU
			Av. Annual %
Insular SE Asia	2000-2005	WI Malaysia 2010	1.47
Sarawak	2005-2007	SarVision 2011	7.1
Sarawak	2009-2010	SarVision 2011	8.9
Malaysia & Indonesia	2000-2010	Miettinen <i>et al</i> 2011	2.2
Borneo	1997-2002	Fuller <i>et al</i> 2004	2
Indonesia	1990-2000	Hansen <i>et al</i> 2009	1.5



#### Oil palm: facts

Malaysia (Peninsular Malaysia, Sabah and Sarawak):
 – 0.6 - 0.67 million ha is on peat (Posa *et al.*, 2011; Agus et al., 2011)

#### Indonesia:

 1.3 million ha on peat, 1.0 million ha in Sumatra and 0.3 million ha in Kalimantan (Page *et al.*, 2011; Agus et al., 2011). Concessions until 2020: 2.5 million ha on peat.

 Global demand food and biofuels is likely to put further pressure on peat swamp forests (Rijenders and Huijbregts, 2008; Fargioni *et al.*, 2008).

#### Drainage for production



#### Natural situation:

- · Water table close to surface
- Peat accumulation from vegetation over thousands of years

#### Drainage:

- Water tables lowered
- Peat surface subsidence and CO<sub>2</sub> emission starts





#### Continued drainage:

- Decomposition of dry peat: CO<sub>2</sub> emission
- High fire risk in dry peat: CO<sub>2</sub> emission
- Peat surface subsidence due to decomposition and shrinkage

#### End stage:

- Most peat carbon above drainage limit released to the atmosphere within decades,
- unless conservation / mitigation measures are taken

## Soil Subsidence

- 1. Initial rate 20-60 cm per year, mainly compaction
- 2. Subsidence rate 4.6 cm per year, shrinkage/compaction + oxidation
- 3. Final rate 2-5 cm per year, mainly oxidation: 92% of cumulative subsidence was caused by peat oxidation

(e.g. Hooijer et al., 2011; Wosten et al., 1997; Couwenberg et al., 2010; Mohammed et al, 2009)



## **Risks of Soil Subsidence**



### Loss of Carbon

- Natural swamp forest into plantation: release of C above ground:153 – 359 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>
- Logged forest to plantation release of C above ground: 47 – 214 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>.
- Drainage: ongoing release of C: 7-40 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>).





## Peat drainage -> CO2

>9,1 t CO<sub>2</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> per each 10 cm drainage depth (Couwenberg et al., 2010); range 26- 178 t CO<sub>2</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Agus *et al.*, 2009; Lamade and Bouillet, 2005; Hooijer *et al.*, 2011).

>86 t  $CO_2$ -eq ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for drainage depths of 60 – 85 cm (Page *et al* 2011; Hooijer et al., 2011).

With higher water tables (40-60 cm as is advised in the BMP) this emission will be lower.



#### Water management

**BMP:** maintaining water levels in the field drains at 40-60 cm, however, if palms are young, even water levels of 35-45 cm are sufficient to obtain high yields



#### Greenhouse gas emissions



- Land: ~ 0 emissions
- Waste- and open water fluxes: about 0.8 1.2 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> from palm oil mill effluents and possibly up to 8 t CO<sub>2</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for fluxes open water.



# Greenhouse gas and Carbon measurements

- Chamber (direct measurements: low temporal coverage, high spatial coverage)
- Eddy Covariance (direct: high temporal coverage, low spatial coverage)
- Proxies (indirect measurements: soil subsidence, water table, temperature)



#### Chamber measurements

- Use short (4-5 minutes) closure times
- Use regression if possible, not integration for temporal upscaling
- Take into account the components that differ in emissions
- Distinguish between auto- and heterotrophic respiration



## Monitoring

- System boundaries
- Stratify/determine sources and sinks
  - Estimate emissions within the strata by e.g. measuring soil subsidence
- Determine emission reductions and/or carbon gains by estimating or measuring changes over time



8 years subsidence in Woodman plantation, Sarawak

#### Fires

- Primary undisturbed rainforests usually do not burn.
- The increased fire frequency due to drainage of peat results in the release of high amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>
- Average of 261 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for the years 1997, 2001 and 2002 was released.
- Fires affect the climate worldwide and affect social life, economy and human health (respiratory illnesses).





# Recommendations for sustainable palm oil production

#### New plantation land:

Development on mineral soil and non-peat/low carbon degraded land

emission reduction 70-80%

#### **Existing plantations on peat:**

Introduction of BMP:

- Good water management (= key factor!)
  - drainage depth av. Max 50 cm (40-60 cm) in field drain
  - emission reduction > 40%
- Fire prevention and fire control, zero burning
- Compaction, vegetation cover on bare soil
- Recycling of wastes and pulvarizing old palms

Consider cut-off point for peat rehabilitation,

Considering GHG, subsidence and flooding



### Conclusion

- Large subsidence following drainage -> future risk of flooding (-> high costs for flooding defense!)
- Large emissions from oil palm on peat because of drainage

•

- Water level is the main factor to reduce emissions BMP: 40-60 cm in field drains.
- Avoidance of development op plantations on peat because not sustainable
- For RSPO: Consider 'cut-off point' for existing plantations on peat